



BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

0001 chains of several links equal to two inches in length up to six inches in length are manufactured with different sized findings on each end

0002 on the left end of the left half of the extender is a small finding

0003 on the right end of the chain making up the left half of the extender is a very large finding

0004 at the left end of the chain making up the right half of the extender is a large O ring

0005 at the right end of the chain making up the right half of the extender is a small O ring

Because the language of the claim was changed so much that the nature of the system claimed was unclear the two claims were abandoned and clearer version is detailed below

Claim 3 Original

I claim

1. a system which consists of a pair of short chains from two to six inches in length which use different-sized findings to connect the ends of a necklace or bracelet

2. one short chain has a small finding on one side which hooks into the small O ring of the piece of jewelry

3. at the other side of that short chain is a large finding

4. the other short chain has a large O ring on its left end

5. on its other side is a small O ring in to which the small finding of the jewelry hooks

6. the shorter chain is a part of the bracelet extender

7. the longer chain is a part of the necklace extender.

RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS TO THE CLAIM AND DISTINCTIONS FROM THE CITED CASES

Flaherty

The application was objected to because of Flaherty

Flaherty is an invention which describes its function as a multi-strand necklace creating necklaces having different ornamental appearance;
the drawings show the attaching findings as an O ring and a rod or a hook –shaped finding
the piece of jewelry is not altered in length by the invention in Flaherty

The invention in Flaherty is distinguished from this invention:

this invention is a single strand necklace modifier
there is no attachment in this system for several necklaces
the connecting findings are not a rod or a hook shaped finding
the invention in Flaherty does not extend the length of a piece of jewelry as this system does

Two other cases were referred for consideration as similar to the proposed system.

Johnson et al.

this case discloses an invention of a specific type of necklace made of safety pins and beads
the disclosure in Johnson et al. describes the closure as suitable clasp members

The invention in Johnson et al. is distinguished from this invention

the type of findings and their position are an integral and important part of this invention
this invention claims a system to be used with any jewelry

Emberson

The invention is a specific necklace which consists of a multi-purpose short piece which can be used to hold ID badges or pieces of the necklace
the reclosable fasteners have a magnetic catch
the necklace can convert to
the necklace size is shortened when the bracelet is formed but it does not enlarge the jewelry

Emberson is distinguished from this invention